



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,611	08/24/2005	Johnny Nilsson	1935-00154	4849

26753 7590 09/12/2007  
ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP  
100 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE, SUITE 1100  
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202

EXAMINER
----------

PASSANITI, SEBASTIANO

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3711

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

09/12/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Interview Summary

Application No.

10/524,611

Applicant(s)

NILSSON ET AL.

Examiner

Sebastiano Passaniti

Art Unit

3711

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sebastiano Passaniti.

(3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Peter Holsen (Reg. No. 54,180).

(4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 28 August 2007.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference

c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: claim 7.


Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)


THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
Sebastiano Passaniti  
Primary Examiner

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorney acknowledged the change necessary in claim 7 to eliminate confusion over the language "The golf shaft of claim 5" where in fact claim 5 recites a putter. It was noted that claim 7 should most likely read --The golf putter of claim 5--. Applicant's attorney further acknowledged the mention of a potential restriction requirement in the last Office action of 08/22/2007 and noted that the requirement would have to be formally made of record so that the foreign affiliates involved in prosecution decisions may be made aware of and have time to respond to the restriction requirement. It was noted that an amendment would soon follow to correct the inadvertent error in claim 7 and to request that the restriction requirement, if still appropriate, be made of record, with appropriate time periods for response set. .



**Sebastiano Passaniti**  
Primary Examiner